	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/700,951	HUTTUNEN, MIKKO	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason M. Perilla	2638	70 YUV.
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS Is herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT I of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED ir 5) or other appropriate commu RIGHTS. This application is s	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due co	urse. THIS
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>the request for cons</u>	ideration filed September 8, 2	<u>2005</u> .	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1, 2, 4-6, and 8-10 renumbers	ed respectively as claims 1-8.		
 3.	ve been received. ve been received in Application ocuments have been received. " of this communication to file MENT of this application. mitted. Note the attached EXA ves reason(s) why the oath or just be submitted. rson's Patent Drawing Review —. r's Amendment / Comment or	on No If in this national stage application a reply complying with the requination AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOT declaration is deficient. If (PTO-948) attached In the Office action of	rements
each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in 6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the dep attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	osit of BIOLOGICAL MATE	ERIAL must be submitted. Not	e the
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) 6. ⊠ Interview Si	formal Patent Application (PTO-1 ummary (PTO-413), Mail Date <u>20050929</u> .	152)
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No./Mail Date		Amendment/Comment	
Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	_	Statement of Reasons for Allowa	ince
	9. 🔲 Other		

Page 2

1. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, and 8-10 are pending in the instant application.

2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Christine H. McCarthy (41844) on September 28, 2005.

The application has been amended as follows:

Regarding claim 1, in line 1, "interfering signal" is replaced by –interfering databearing communication signal--, and, in line 10, "interfering signal" is replaced by – interfering data-bearing communication signal--.

Regarding claim 5, in line 1, "interfering signal" is replaced by –interfering data-bearing communication signal--, and, in line 10, "interfering signal" is replaced by – interfering data-bearing communication signal--.

Regarding claim 9, in line 1, "interfering signal" is replaced by –interfering databearing communication signal--, and, in line 10, "interfering signal" is replaced by – interfering data-bearing communication signal--.

Regarding claim 10, in line 1, "interfering signal" is replaced by –interfering data-bearing communication signal--, and, in line 10, "interfering signal" is replaced by – interfering data-bearing communication signal--.

Application/Control Number: 09/700,951 Page 3

Art Unit: 2638

Claims 1, 2, 4-6, and 8-10 are renumbered respectively as claims 1-8, and the claim dependency is renumbered accordingly.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, and 8-10 renumbered respectively as claims 1-8 are allowed.
- 4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

With careful consideration given to Applicant's arguments filed September 8, 2005, claims 1, 2, 4-6, and 8-10, renumbered respectively as claims 1-8, are allowed. The prior art of record shows that a threshold may be used against an error metric determined in a receiver representing interference in a received signal. However, as the Applicant points out, the interference in the prior art of record, namely combinations including at least Love et al (US 6567475), is not specifically defined to be that of an unwanted data-bearing communications signal as in the instant application. Therefore, the claims specifying that the interfering signal is an interfering data-bearing communications signal are allowable because the prior art of record does not disclose or obviate the recognition of the reception of an interfering data-bearing communication signal.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 2638

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason M. Perilla whose telephone number is (571) 272-3055. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Vanderpuye can be reached on (571) 272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jason M. Perilla September 29, 2005

jmp

CHIEH M. FAN